#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose of Equality Analysis

The council has an important role in creating a fair society through the services we provide, the people we employ and the money we spend. Equality is integral to everything the council does. We are committed to making Croydon a stronger, fairer borough where no community or individual is held back.

Undertaking an Equality Analysis helps to determine whether a proposed change will have a positive, negative, or no impact on groups that share a protected characteristic. Conclusions drawn from Equality Analyses helps us to better understand the needs of all our communities, enable us to target services and budgets more effectively and also helps us to comply with the Equality Act 2010.

An equality analysis must be completed as early as possible during the planning stages of any proposed change to ensure information gained from the process is incorporated in any decisions made.

In practice, the term 'proposed change' broadly covers the following:-

- Policies, strategies and plans;
- Projects and programmes;
- Commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning);
- Service review:
- Budget allocation/analysis;
- Staff restructures (including outsourcing);
- Business transformation programmes;
- Organisational change programmes;
- Processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria.

## 2. Proposed change

| Directorate                                    | Resources  |
|--|--|
| Title of proposed change                       | Letting of 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor in Bernard Weatherill House |
| Name of Officer carrying out Equality Analysis | Steve Wingrave   |

#### 2.1 Purpose of proposed change (see 1.1 above for examples of proposed changes)

This report recommends the letting of the 5<sup>th</sup> floor of BWH to the London Ambulance Service. A decision has already been taken to release surplus space within the building and the latest letting builds on the earlier release of space on 9-12<sup>th</sup> floors. The release of space has been possible through the adoption of more flexible working practice by the Council giving employees the ability to work from home or other locations that better accommodates their needs as well as those of the business. The policy allows for individual requirements to be met in the same way as previously with special adaptations being accommodated within the retained space

The lettings are in line with the Improvement and Assurance Panels requirements for the Council to demonstrate that they are disposing of all non-essential assets and making best use of any retained space to help reduce the current level of borrowing and to try and ensure that key services to vulnerable residents can continue to be delivered.

### 3. Impact of the proposed change

Important Note: It is necessary to determine how each of the protected groups could be impacted by the proposed change. If there is insufficient information or evidence to reach a decision you will need to gather appropriate quantitative and qualitative information from a range of sources e.g. Croydon Observatory a useful source of information such as Borough Strategies and Plans, Borough and Ward Profiles, Joint Strategic Health Needs Assessments

<a href="http://www.croydonobservatory.org/">http://www.croydonobservatory.org/</a> Other sources include performance monitoring reports, complaints, survey data, audit reports, inspection reports, national research and feedback gained through engagement with service users, voluntary and community organisations and contractors.

### 3.1 Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

Table 1 – Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

If you need to undertake further research and data gathering to help determine the likely impact of the proposed change, outline the information needed in this table.

| Additional information needed  | Information source | Date for completion |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| The proposed letting will have no real impact as the space is being released as a consequence of the flexible working style adopted by the Council following staff consultation and reflects their preferred working style. Where necessary, any special provisions and adaptation/equipment will be provided within the retained space to meet individual needs. An equalities assessment was undertaken as part of the flexible working strategy process and the letting of the space will not | Asset Management   | March 2023          |

| cause any additional changes and therefore no further actions are considered necessary |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |

For guidance and support with consultation and engagement visit <a href="https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation">https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation</a>

### 3.2 Deciding whether the potential impact is positive or negative

#### **Table 2 – Positive/Negative impact**

For each protected characteristic group show whether the impact of the proposed change on service users and/or staff is positive or negative by briefly outlining the nature of the impact in the appropriate column. If it is decided that analysis is not relevant to some groups, this should be recorded and explained. In all circumstances you should list the source of the evidence used to make this judgement where possible.

| Protected characteristic group(s) | Positive impact  | Negative impact | Source of evidence   |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Age                               | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | N/A             | HR Workforce profile data Please see Appendix 1 for Protected characteristic population data |
| Disability                        | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. |                 | As above   |
| Gender                            | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision  |                 | As above.  |

|                               | regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken.   |           |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Gender Reassignment           | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |
| Marriage or Civil Partnership | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |
| Religion or belief            | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |
| Race                          | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |
| Sexual Orientation            | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |
| Pregnancy or Maternity        | The letting will not directly impact any protected characteristic group as the decision regarding the new flexible working styles and relocation requirements/set-ups have already been taken. | As above. |

**Important note:** You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which, if it occurred would breach the Equality Act 2010. In some situations this could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take action to mitigate all negative impacts.

When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, you must ensure that this does not create a negative impact on service users and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics.

#### 3.3 Impact scores

#### **Example**

If we are going to reduce parking provision in a particular location, officers will need to assess the equality impact as follows;

- 1. Determine the Likelihood of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the likelihood of impact score is 2 (likely to impact)
- 2. Determine the Severity of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the Severity of impact score is also 2 (likely to impact)
- 3. Calculate the equality impact score using table 4 below and the formula **Likelihood x Severity** and record it in table 5, for the purpose of this example **Likelihood** (2) x **Severity** (2) = 4

**Table 4 – Equality Impact Score** 

| act               | 3                    | 3 | 6 | 9 |
|-------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|
| <u>m</u>          | 2                    | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| / of              | 1                    | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| everity of Impact |                      | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Sev               | Likelihood of Impact |   |   |   |

| Key        |                |
|------------|----------------|
| Risk Index | Risk Magnitude |
| 6 – 9      | High           |
| 3 – 5      | Medium         |
| 1 – 3      | Low            |

Table 5 - Impact scores

| Table 5 – Impact scores      |   |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Column 1                     | Column 2  | Column 3   | Column 4   |
| PROTECTED GROUP              | LIKELIHOOD OF IMPACT SCORE  | SEVERITY OF IMPACT SCORE   | EQUALITY IMPACT SCORE  |
|                              | Use the key below to <b>score</b> the <b>likelihood</b> of the proposed change impacting each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. | Use the key below to <b>score</b> the <b>severity</b> of impact of the proposed change on each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. | Calculate the <b>equality impact score</b> for each protected group by multiplying scores in column 2 by scores in column 3. Enter the results below against each protected group. |
|                              | 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact   | 1 = Unlikely to impact<br>2 = Likely to impact<br>3 = Certain to impact  | Equality impact score = likelihood of impact score x severity of impact score.   |
| Age                          | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Disability                   | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Gender                       | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Gender reassignment          | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Marriage / Civil Partnership | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Race                         | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Religion or belief           | 2   | 1`   | 2  |
| Sexual Orientation           | 2   | 1  | 2  |
| Pregnancy or Maternity       | 2   | <b>1</b>   | 2  |

| 4.    | Statutory duties   |
|-------|--|
|       |  |
| 4.1   | Public Sector Duties   |
| Tick  | the relevant box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties in the  |
| Equa  | ality Act 2010 set out below.  |
| Adva  | incing equality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups   |
|       |  |
| Elimi | nating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation   |
| Coot  | aving good velations between magnic who heleng to protected observatoristic groups   |
| FOSI  | ering good relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups  |
|       | ortant note: If the proposed change adversely impacts the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions must utlined in the Action Plan in section 5 below |

# 5. Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts of proposed change

Table 5 – Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts

| Complete this table to show any negative impacts identified for service users and/or staff from protected groups, and planned actions mitigate them. |                 |                      |                |                     |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| Protected characteristic   | Negative impact | Mitigating action(s) | Action owner   | Date for completion |  |
| Disability   | N/A             |                      | Steve Wingrave |                     |  |
| Race   | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Sex (gender)   | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Gender reassignment  | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Sexual orientation   | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Age  | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Religion or belief   | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |
| Pregnancy or maternity   | N/A             |                      |                |                     |  |

| Marriage/civil partnership | N/A  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| A B 1 1 (1)                | and the second s |  |  |

## 6. Decision on the proposed change

| Based on the in                            | nformation outlined in this Equality Analysis enter <b>X</b> in column 3 ( <b>Conclusion</b> ) alongside the relevant statement to show your o   | conclusion.                       |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Decision                                   | Definition   | Conclusion -<br>Mark 'X'<br>below |
| No major<br>change                         | Our analysis demonstrates that the policy is robust. The evidence shows no potential for discrimination and we have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review. If you reach this conclusion, state your reasons and briefly outline the evidence used to support your decision.  There will be no major changes for staff working from BWH or to the services delivered as a result of the letting of the 5 <sup>th</sup> floor. The income will help the Council to deliver services to vulnerable residents and is therefore more likely to have an indirect positive impacthave a positive impaxct | X                                 |
| Adjust the proposed change                 | We will take steps to lessen the impact of the proposed change should it adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out under section 4 above, remove barriers or better promote equality. We are going to take action to ensure these opportunities are realised. If you reach this conclusion, you must outline the actions you will take in Action Plan in section 5 of the Equality Analysis form  |                                   |
| Continue the proposed change               | We will adopt or continue with the change, despite potential for adverse impact or opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through the change. However, we are not planning to implement them as we are satisfied that our project will not lead to unlawful discrimination and there are justifiable reasons to continue as planned. If you reach this conclusion, you should clearly set out the justifications for doing this and it must be in line with the duty to have due regard and how you reached this decision.                                     |                                   |
| Stop or<br>amend the<br>proposed<br>change | Our change would have adverse effects on one or more protected groups that are not justified and cannot be mitigated.  Our proposed change must be stopped or amended.   |                                   |

| Will this decision be considered at a scheduled meeting? e.g. Contracts and | Meeting title: Decision under special urgency provisions Date: 15 March |
|---|---|
| Commissioning Board (CCB) / Cabinet No.                                     | 2023  |

## 7. Sign-Off

| Officers that must approve this decision |           |   |       |               |
|--|-----------|---|-------|---------------|
| Equality lead                            | Name:     | Denise McCausland                           | Date: | 15 March 2023 |
|  | Position: | Equalities Programme Manager                |       |               |
| Director                                 | Name:     | Steve Wingrave                              | Date: | 15 March 2023 |
|  | Position: | <b>Assistant Director Property Services</b> |       |               |
|  |           |   |       |               |

Appendix One: HR Workforce Data 2021/22.

### **COUNCIL WIDE DATA AS OF 2020-21**

| Data based on Headcount of:   |       |
|---|-------|
|   | Unit  |
| Directly employed workforce over the period 1 April 2020 - 31 March 2021. | 3,446 |

| FTE | Workforce |
|-----|-----------|
|-----|-----------|

|             | Unit  | Percentage |
|-------------|-------|------------|
| Full Time   | 2,808 | 81.49%     |
| Part Time   | 638   | 18.51%     |
| Grand Total | 3,446 | 100.00%    |

| Gender      | Workforce |            |
|-------------|-----------|------------|
|             | Unit      | Percentage |
| Female      | 2,318     | 67.27%     |
| Male        | 1,128     | 32.73%     |
| Grand Total | 3,446     | 100.00%    |

| Age         | Workforce |            |
|-------------|-----------|------------|
|             | Unit      | Percentage |
| < 18        | 0         | 0.00%      |
| 18 - 24     | 53        | 1.54%      |
| 25 - 34     | 524       | 15.21%     |
| 35 - 44     | 851       | 24.70%     |
| 45 - 54     | 918       | 26.64%     |
| 55 - 64     | 941       | 27.31%     |
| 65 +        | 159       | 4.61%      |
| Grand Total | <br>3,446 | 100.00%    |

| Disability        | Workforce |            |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
|                   | Unit      | Percentage |
| Not disabled      | 2,079     | 60.33%     |
| Prefer not to say | 41        | 1.19%      |
| Disabled          | 225       | 6.53%      |

| Not specified/Unknown | 1,101 | 31.95%  |
|-----------------------|-------|---------|
| Grand Total           | 3,446 | 100.00% |

| Race/Ethnicity (Black, Asian and Ethnic Minority)   | Workforce |            |
|---|-----------|------------|
|   | Unit      | Percentage |
| Asian or Asian British - Any other Asian background | 42        | 1.22%      |
| Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi                | 16        | 0.46%      |
| Asian or Asian British - Chinese                    | 6         | 0.17%      |
| Asian or Asian British - Indian                     | 94        | 2.73%      |
| Asian or Asian British - Pakistani                  | 28        | 0.81%      |
| Black or Black British - African                    | 258       | 7.49%      |
| Black or Black British - Any other Black background | 49        | 1.42%      |
| Black or Black British - Caribbean                  | 378       | 10.97%     |
| Mixed - Any other mixed background                  | 52        | 1.51%      |
| Mixed - White and Asian                             | 26        | 0.75%      |
| Mixed - White and Black African                     | 8         | 0.23%      |
| Mixed - White and Black Caribbean                   | 45        | 1.31%      |
| Other - Arab  | 3         | 0.09%      |
| Any Other   | 89        | 2.58%      |
| Grand Total   | 1,094     | 31.75%     |

| Race/Ethnicity (Non-BAME)                             | Workforce       |        |
|---|-----------------|--------|
|   | Unit Percentage |        |
| White - Any other White background                    | 121             | 3.51%  |
| White - English/Welsh/Scottish/Northern Irish/British | 1,093           | 31.72% |
| White - Gypsy or Irish Traveller                      | 2               | 0.06%  |
| White - Irish   | 40              | 1.16%  |

| Grand Total | 1,256 | 36.45% |
|-------------|-------|--------|
|-------------|-------|--------|

| Race/Ethnicity (Prefer not to say/No Info) | Work  | cforce     |
|--|-------|------------|
|  | Unit  | Percentage |
| Prefer not to say                          | 24    | 0.70%      |
| Not specified/Unknown                      | 1,072 | 31.11%     |
| Grand Total                                | 1,096 | 31.80%     |

| Race/Ethnicity (Total Response Overview) | Worl  | cforce     |
|--|-------|------------|
|  | Unit  | Percentage |
| Yes - Total Ethnicity Data Response      | 2,374 | 68.89%     |
| No - Total Ethnicity Data Response       | 1,072 | 31.11%     |
| Grand Total                              | 3,446 | 100.00%    |

| Religion or Belief    | Workforce |            |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
|                       | Unit      | Percentage |
| Buddhist              | 17        | 0.49%      |
| Christian             | 1,183     | 34.33%     |
| Hindu                 | 64        | 1.86%      |
| Jewish                | 8         | 0.23%      |
| Muslim                | 98        | 2.84%      |
| Sikh                  | 13        | 0.38%      |
| Other                 | 79        | 2.29%      |
| No religion           | 572       | 16.60%     |
| Prefer not to say     | 265       | 7.69%      |
| Not specified/Unknown | 1,147     | 33.28%     |
| Grand Total           | 3,446     | 100.00%    |

| Sexual Orientation    | Worl  | kfoce      |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|
|                       | Unit  | Percentage |
| Bi-sexual             | 21    | 0.61%      |
| Heterosexual/Straight | 1,855 | 53.83%     |
| Gay man               | 32    | 0.93%      |
| Lesbian/Gay woman     | 19    | 0.55%      |
| Other                 | 37    | 1.07%      |
| Prefer not to say     | 315   | 9.14%      |
| Not specified/Unknown | 1,167 | 33.87%     |
| Grand Total           | 3,446 | 100.00%    |

| Marriage or Civil Partnership                               | Work  | force      |
|---|-------|------------|
|   | Unit  | Percentage |
| Divorced/Dissolved Civil Partnership                        | 128   | 3.71%      |
| In a registered civil partnership                           | 8     | 0.23%      |
| Married   | 734   | 21.30%     |
| Never married and never had a civil partnership             | 458   | 13.29%     |
| Separated, but still legally married/in a civil partnership | 29    | 0.84%      |
| Widowed   | 10    | 0.29%      |
| Prefer not to say   | 61    | 1.77%      |
| Not specified/Unknown                                       | 2,018 | 58.56%     |
| Grand Total   | 3,446 | 100.00%    |

| Gender Reassignment | Workforce |            |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|
|                     | Unit      | Percentage |

| <b>Yes</b> - Gender identity <b>the same</b> as the gender assigned at birth    | 1,485 | 43.09%  |
|---|-------|---------|
| <b>No</b> - Gender identity not <b>the same</b> as the gender assigned at birth | 14    | 0.41%   |
| Prefer not to say   | 24    | 0.70%   |
| Not specified   | 1,923 | 55.80%  |
| Grand Total   | 3,446 | 100.00% |

| Pregnancy or Maternity | Worl  | rforce     |
|------------------------|-------|------------|
|                        | Unit  | Percentage |
| Maternity              | 39    | 1.13%      |
| Non-maternity          | 2,318 | 67.27%     |
| Grand Total            | 2,357 | 68.40%     |

<u>Data Considerations:</u> (1) Reporting limitations - Reporting on pregnancy that is separate from maternity is not possible within current reporting protocols. (2) Reliability of data - Although an employee data audit is conducted annually, non-disclosure rates for certain protected characteristics remain high and with that the reliability of any statistical analysis is compromosised. (3) Non-disclosure of information - There are high levels of non-disclosure accross the organisation in multiple categories - see table below.

| Non-Disclosure/Not Specified Overview | Workforce |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
|                                       | Unit      |  |
| Disability                            | 1,101     |  |
| Race/Ethnicity                        | 1,072     |  |
| Religion or Belief                    | 1,147     |  |
| Sexual Orientation                    | 1,167     |  |
| Marriage or Civil Partnership         | 2,018     |  |
| Gender Reassignment                   | 1,923     |  |

Grand Total 8,428

\* Total is the number of occasions as opposed to number of employees as the incident of non-disclosure could be by the same employee multiple times.